

Technical Criteria for Q3/L3 and Q4/L3 - Enquiry: Miscellaneous Metals and Hardware (E3513GXNOU)

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	Quality	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Storage and Handling; Purchasing and Receipt Inspection etc.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3. 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3. 0% - No documents submitted.	35%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form.	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the supplier's commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	100% - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 0% - No documents submitted	5%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statement or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR.	100% - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 50% - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. 0% - No documents submitted.	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receipt Inspection Process.	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	100% - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receipt Inspection Checklist or Receipt Inspection Record. 75% - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receipt Inspection Checklist or Receipt Inspection Record. 50% - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. 0% - No documents submitted.	30%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING				100%	NOT MEET	0%		
	Technical	Conformance to DSG-310-153	1. Returnable is an example of a EN 10204 type 3.1 material certificate which states material chemical composition and mechanical properties for similar product type.	1. An example of a EN 10204 type 3.1 material certificate which states material chemical composition and mechanical properties for similar product type.	25%		0%	0.0%		
			2. Example Shelf Life and Cure Date certificate for components coming with Elastomeric material as part of the assembly.	2. An Example of a Shelf Life and Cure Date certificate for components coming with Elastomeric material as part of the assembly.	25%		0%	0.0%		
			3. Example of a Hydrostatic Test Report.	3. An Example of a Hydrostatic Test Report.	25%		0%	0.0%		
			4. Example of a Certificate of Conformance.	4. An Example of a Certificate of Conformance.	25%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING				100%	NOT MEET	0%		
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	A proof of at least 3 previous projects/transactions where similar products were supplied.	100% - Three Letters provided. 75% - Two letters provided. 50% - One letter provided. 0% - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING				100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
Quality					55%	0.0%				
Technical					40%	0.0%				
Previous Experience					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: Muzi Lushaba & Vuyo Dzingwe

Signature:

Date: 2026/09/07